



Reviewer Rating Sheet

Instructions:

Please assign a numerical rating for each of the criteria below. Scores may range from 1 to 7, with 1 being the worst and 7 being the best. Each scoring criteria is weighted equally. Score descriptors and definitions are as follows:

7 Exceptional	Very strong with no weaknesses identified
6 Excellent	Very strong with a few minor weaknesses identified
5 Good	Strong with at least one moderate weakness identified
4 Satisfactory	Some strengths and several moderate weaknesses identified
3 Fair	A few strengths and at least one major weakness identified
2 Marginal	Very few strengths and a few major weaknesses identified
1 Poor	No strengths and several major weaknesses identified

Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen ability to meet criteria

Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens ability to meet criteria

Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits ability to meet criteria

CRITERIA

Statement of Need (20%): Does the program provide services to one or more of the target communities described in the Affiliate's Community Profile? How closely does the program align with the funding priorities stated in the RFA?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Program Design (20%): Is the program culturally competent? Is the program evidence-based? How likely is it that the objectives and activities will be achieved within the scope of the funded program? Is the program well planned? Is the budget appropriate and realistic? Does the budget justification explain in detail the reasoning and need for the costs associated with the program? If the proposed program includes collaboration with other organizations, are the roles of the partners appropriate, relevant and clearly defined?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Impact (20%): Will the program have a substantial positive impact on increasing the percentage of people who enter, stay in, or progress through the continuum of care? Will the program have a substantial impact on the need described in the funding priority selected? Is the impact likely to be long-term?



Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Organization Capacity (20%): Does the applicant organization, Project Director and his/her team have the expertise to effectively implement all aspects of the program? Is there evidence of success in delivering services to the target population? Is the organization fiscally capable of managing the grant program, including having appropriate financial controls in place? Does the applicant organization have the equipment, resources, tools, space, etc., to implement all aspects of the program? Does the organization or staff have appropriate licenses, certifications, accreditations, etc. to deliver the proposed services? Does the organization have a plan to obtain the resources (financial, personnel, partnerships, etc.) needed to sustain the program beyond the grant term (if awarded)? Are collaborations (if proposed) likely to be sustained beyond the grant term? Does the applicant organization have long-term support from organizational leadership?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Monitoring and Evaluation (20%): Is there a documented plan to measure progress against the stated program goal and objectives, and the resulting outputs and outcomes? Is there sufficient monitoring and evaluation (M&E) expertise for the program? Are there sufficient resources in place for M&E efforts?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Total Points:	Average Score (Total score / 5):
----------------------	---

Additional Comments: